REMARKS

I. INTRODUCTION

In response to the Office Action dated November 23, 2009, no claims have been canceled, amended or added. Claims 1-33 remain in the application. Entry of these remarks, and re-consideration of the application, is requested.

II. PRIOR ART REJECTIONS

A. The Office Action Rejections

On page (3) of the Office Action, claims 1-2, 12-13 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Chaudhuri et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,363,371 (Chaudhuri). On page (5) of the Office Action, claims 3, 14 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Also on page (5) of the Office Action, claims 4-11, 15-22 and 26-33 are objected to because they depend on claims 3, 14 and 25, but otherwise are allowable.

Applicant's attorney respectfully traverses these rejections.

B. Applicant's Claimed Invention

Applicant's claimed invention, as recited in independent claims 1, 12 and 23, is generally directed to a method of optimizing execution of a query that accesses data stored on a data store connected to a computer. Claim 1 is representative and recites the steps of using statistics on one or more expressions of one or more pre-defined queries to determine an optimal query execution plan for the query, and executing the optimal query execution plan for the query in order to access the data stored on the data store connected to a computer and then output the accessed data.

C. The Chaudhuri

Chaudhuri describes an essential statistics identification utility tool that attempts to reduce or minimize the overhead associated with statistics by identifying from an initial set of statistics a set of essential statistics that provide a query optimizer with the ability to choose among query execution plans with minimized loss in accuracy as compared to using the initial set of statistics. The set of essential statistics is identified as a subset of the initial set of statistics

that is equivalent to the initial set of statistics with respect to each query of a workload. The subset of statistics is equivalent to the initial set of statistics if an execution plan for each query using the subset of statistics is the same as an execution plan for that query using the initial set of statistics and/or if a cost estimate to execute each query against the database using the subset of statistics is within a predetermined amount of a cost estimate to execute that query against the database using the initial set of statistics. The subset of statistics may be identified such that any proper subset of the subset of statistics is not equivalent to the initial set of statistics with respect to each query. The subset of statistics may also be identified such that an update cost or size for the subset of statistics is minimized.

D. Applicant's Claimed Invention Is Patentable Over The Cited Reference

Applicant's claimed invention is patentable over the cited reference, because it includes a combination of limitations not taught or suggested by the Chaudhuri reference. Specifically, the reference does not teach or suggest the steps or elements of the independent claims comprising "using statistics on one or more expressions of one or more pre-defined queries to determine an optimal query execution plan for the query."

Nonetheless, Chaudhuri is cited by the Office Action as teaching all of the steps or elements of the independent claims 1, 12 and 23.

The portions of Chaudhuri cited by the Office Action are set forth below:

Chaudhuri: Col. 2, lines 14-15

A method identifies statistics for use in executing one or more queries against a database. The method may be implemented by computer-executable instructions of a computer readable medium. A database system may perform the method with suitable means.

Chaudhuri: Col. 2, line 59 to col. 3, line 12

For each statistic of the initial set of statistics, a respective set of queries may be identified from a workload of queries such that that statistic is potentially relevant to each query in the respective query set and such that each query in the respective query set has estimated execution costs greater than any other potentially relevant query of the workload. For each statistic of the initial set of statistics, whether the initial set of statistics without that statistic is equivalent to the initial set of statistics with respect to each query in the respective query set may then be determined, and, if not, that statistic is included in a first subset of statistics. The one or more queries may then be identified from the workload as

each query of the workload such that the first subset of statistics is not equivalent to the initial set of statistics with respect to that query.

The subset of statistics may be identified by identifying a subset of the initial set of statistics, determining whether such an identified subset of statistics is equivalent to the initial set of statistics with respect to each query, and repeating these steps for other subsets of the initial set of statistics. These steps may be repeated until an identified subset of statistics is equivalent to the initial set of statistics with respect to each query. Subsets of the initial set of statistics may be identified in increasing order of update cost or size.

Chaudhuri: Col. 4, lines 57-62

With reference to FIG. 1, an exemplary system for implementing the invention includes a general purpose computing device in the form of a conventional personal computer 120, including a processing unit 121, a system memory 122, and a system bus 123 that couples various system components including system memory 122 to processing unit 121. System bus 123 may be any of several types of bus structures including a memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus, and a local bus using any of a variety of bus architectures. System memory 122 includes read only memory (ROM) 124 and random access memory (RAM) 125. A basic input/output system (BIOS) 126, containing the basic routines that help to transfer information between elements within personal computer 120, such as during start-up, is stored in ROM 124. Personal computer 120 further includes a hard disk drive 127 for reading from and writing to a hard disk, a magnetic disk drive 128 for reading from or writing to a removable magnetic disk 129, and an optical disk drive 130 for reading from or writing to a removable optical disk 131 such as a CD ROM or other optical media. Hard disk drive 127, magnetic disk drive 128, and optical disk drive 130 are connected to system bus 123 by a hard disk drive interface 132, a magnetic disk drive interface 133, and an optical drive interface 134, respectively. The drives and their associated computer-readable media provide nonvolatile storage of computerreadable instructions, data structures, program modules and other data for personal computer 120. Although the exemplary environment described herein employs a hard disk, a removable magnetic disk 129 and a removable optical disk 131, it should be appreciated by those skilled in the art that other types of computer-readable media which can store data that is accessible by a computer. such as magnetic cassettes, flash memory cards, digital video disks, Bernoulli cartridges, random access memories (RAMs), read only memories (ROMs), and the like, may also be used in the exemplary operating environment.

Chaudhuri: Col. 6, lines 25-27

Database server 220 processes queries, for example, to retrieve, insert, delete, and/or update data in database 210. Database system 200 may support any suitable query language, such as Structured Query Language (SQL) for example, to define the queries that may be processed by database server 220. Suitable SQL queries include, for example, Select, Insert, Delete, and Update statements.

Database server 220 for one embodiment comprises the Microsoft.RTM. SQL Server.

Chaudhuri: Col. 6, lines 30-40

Database server 220 comprises a storage engine 222 for accessing data in database 210. To enhance performance in processing queries, database server 220 uses indexes to help access data in database 210 more efficiently. An index may be single-column or multi-column and may be clustered or non-clustered. Database server 220 comprises a query optimizer 224 to generate efficient execution plans for queries with respect to a set of indexes. In generating execution plans, query optimizer 224 relies on statistics on column(s) of table(s) referenced in a query to estimate, for example, the cost in time to execute the query against database 210 using more than one possible execution plans for the query. Query optimizer 224 may then choose among possible execution plans for the query. The notations Plan(Q,S) and Cost(Q,S) respectively represent the plan chosen by query optimizer 224 for a query Q and the execution cost of query Q estimated by query optimizer 224 using an available set of statistics S.

Chaudhuri: Col. 6, lines 48-60

Query optimizer 224 may use any suitable statistics of any suitable structure for query optimization. A statistic is a summary structure associated with a set of one or more columns in a relation. One commonly used statistical descriptor is a histogram. Database server 220 may store statistics in system catalog tables 226, for example.

A set of statistics S can be denoted by a set comprising single-columns and/or multicolumns. Thus, the set {R.sub.1.a, R.sub.1.c, (R.sub.2.c, R.sub.2.d.)} represents a set of three statistics comprising single-column statistics on R.sub.1.a, that is on column a of relation R.sub.1; and R.sub.1.c and also comprising multi-column statistics on the two-column combination (R.sub.2.c, R.sub.2.d.) The notation (R.sub.2.c, R.sub.2.d.) denotes a two-dimensional statistic on columns c and d of relation R.sub.2. The number of statistics in the set S is denoted by .vertline.S. vertline.

Other pertinent portions of Chaudhuri are set forth below:

Chaudhuri: Col. 1, lines 33-54

Statistics may be created and maintained on a table, an index, a single column of a table, or combinations of columns of a table, although the structure of statistics may vary from system to system. Single column statistics typically comprise a histogram of values in the domain of that column and may include one or more of the following parameters: the number of distinct values in the column, the density of values in the column, and the second highest and the second lowest values in the column. Multi-column statistics typically represent information on the distribution of values over the Cartesian product of the domains in it. As one example, multi-column statistics on (R.sub.2.c, R.sub.2.d)

may contain information on the joint distribution of values over R.sub.2.c and R.sub.2.d. In Microsoft.RTM. SQL Server, for example, such multi-column statistics would contain joint density information and a histogram on the leading dimension R.sub.2.c. The single and multi-column statistics available for a database make cost estimation significantly more accurate and help the query optimizer arrive at better query execution plans. In the absence of statistics, cost estimates can be dramatically different often resulting in a poor choice of the execution plan.

The above portions of Chaudhuri describe the use of statistics by a query optimizer in choosing among query execution plans for a query. These statistics used by the query optimizer, however, are created and maintained on a table, an index, a single column of a table, or combinations of columns of a table. Specifically, in generating execution plans, the query optimizer of Chaudhuri relies on statistics on columns of tables referenced in a query to estimate the cost in time to execute the query using more than one possible execution plan for the query, and then chooses among the possible execution plans for the query.

Unlike Applicant's invention, however, Chaudhuri says nothing about the use of statistics on expressions of pre-defined queries to determine an optimal query execution plan for a query. Indeed, nothing in the above portions of Chaudhuri can fairly be said to represent the same limitations as Applicant's independent claims 1, 12 and 33.

Consequently, the Chaudhuri reference does not teach or suggest all of the limitations of Applicant's claimed invention. Moreover, the various elements of Applicant's claimed invention together provide operational advantages over the Chaudhuri reference. In addition, Applicant's invention solves problems not recognized by the Chaudhuri reference.

Thus, Applicant's attorney submits that independent claims 1, 12 and 23 are allowable over Chaudhuri. Further, dependent claims 3-10, 13-20 and 23-30 are submitted to be allowable over Chaudhuri in the same manner, because they are dependent on independent claims 1, 11 and 21, respectively, and because they contain all the limitations of the independent claims.

III. CONCLUSION

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is now in good order for allowance and such allowance is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner believe minor matters still remain that can be resolved in a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to call Applicant's undersigned attorney.

Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers, if appropriate. Please charge all fees to Deposit Account No. 09-0460 of IBM Corporation, the assignee of the present application.

Date: May 24, 2010

GHG/

Respectfully submitted,

GATES & COOPER LLP Attorneys for Applicants

Howard Hughes Center 6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050 Los Angeles, California 90045

(310) 641-8797

By: /George H. Gates/ Name: George H. Gates

Reg. No.: 33,500

-12-

G&C 30571.272-US-C1